# 國立臺灣大學電機資訊學院資訊工程學研究所

# 碩士論文

Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering College of Electrical Engineering & Computer Science National Taiwan University Master Thesis

利用單眼視覺之增強性方向梯度直方圖於多人偵測

Monocular Multi-Human Detection Using Augmented Histograms of Oriented Gradients

莊振勛

**Cheng-Hsiung Chuang** 

指導教授:傅立成 博士

Advisor: Li-Chen Fu, Ph.D.

中華民國 97 年 6 月

June, 2008

### 誌謝

充實的碩士班生涯即將結束,馬上就要走入人生另一個旅程,回顧碩士班的 兩年生涯,大大小小的事都足以令我回憶一生。不論是和大家一起熬夜寫作業趕 計畫,一起在球場上揮灑汗水,或是失落時互相鼓勵的場景,都是我人生中的珍 貴回憶。

碩士班生涯中,最感謝的人莫過於指導教授 傅立成老師。他秉持嚴格的研究 訓練及強調團隊合作與時間分配的態度養成,令我受益良多,並且在我遭遇挫折 時,不斷給予我指導及鼓勵,使我能繼續堅持下去。同時感謝學長 黃世勳教授在 我剛進入碩士班時的細心指導,讓我很快地熟悉實驗室的大小事,以及帶領我進 入研究的殿堂,除此之外,亦感謝 洪一平教授、 蕭培墉教授及 陳祝嵩教授審閱 全文,並給予許多寶貴的意見與指正,使得本論文能更加完善。

求學路途走來跌跌撞撞,感謝父母不斷的給予我安慰及支持,在我茫然不知 所措時,能很快找到自己的方向。感謝兩位姐姐給我的支持與鼓勵,有家人的支 持我才能無後顧之憂的完成碩士班的學業。感謝女友心懿在我最辛苦的關頭,一 直陪在我身邊,照顧我、包容我。

感謝機器人實驗室的影像組成員:益銘學長、一航、忞蔚、思穎。能與各位 互相討論研究,一起完成一項工作的過程,讓我學到一個人如何與團隊間其他人 相處。育誠、禹安、佳委、嘉鳴,有你們的存在,讓我在最後奮戰的路途上有相 互扶持的夥伴。同時亦感謝實驗室的其他成員:開哥、兆麟、恩暐、小陸、峻鋒、 士桓、阿擂、馬卡卡、意函、學謙、亞文,不僅在學業上的相互砥礪,同時增加 了我許多的生活樂趣,最後感謝助理們:小寧,郁璇、懿萱、立婷協助我處理實 驗室的大小事,讓我能更專心地把心力投入研究中。

往後人生路途上尚會遭遇許多困難,我會帶著老師們的教導與各位的祝福, 勇敢面對挑戰。

i

# 中文摘要

本篇論文提出利用增強性方向梯度直方圖(Augmented Histograms of Oriented Gradients (AHOG))於移動式平台上進行多人偵測,在本篇研究中,我們利用人體的幾何特徵來加強方向梯度直方圖(Histograms of Oriented Gradients (HOG))描述人型外觀的能力,其中我們把直立人型中存在的對稱性,每個身體部位的相對距離,以及人型在梯度特徵中的密度分佈加入 HOG 特徵中,來提升 HOG 特徵的描述能力,包含了上述人型特徵的 HOG 在此篇研究稱為 AHOG,接著利用串接式 AdaBoost 演算法建立一個人型串接式分類器,用來對輸入影像中的可能區域進行 偵測,由此人型分類器所決定之區域,則被考慮為人型可能區域,除此之外,利 用串接式分類器的架構,可以減少偵測人型的時間;最後人型可能區域會再經由 人型輪廓驗證,來確信此區域確實有人型存在,並且減少因為由複雜背景所引發的錯誤訊息,藉此降低錯誤偵測的發生。在此研究實驗中,於多種不同的實驗環境中,都可以提供可靠的人型偵測準確率。

# ABSTRACT

In this thesis we introduce an Augmented Histograms of Oriented Gradients (AHOG) feature for human detection from a non-static camera. This research tries to increase the discriminating power of original Histograms of Oriented Gradients (HOG) feature by adding human shape properties, such as contour distances, symmetry, gradient density, and shape approximation. The relations among AHOG features are characterized by the contour distances to the centroid of human. By observing on the biological structure of a human shape, we impose the symmetry property on every HOG feature and compute the similarity between feature itself and its symmetric pair so as to weigh HOG features. After that, the capability of describing human features is greatly improved when being compared with that of traditional one, especially when the moving humans are under consideration. Besides, we also augment the gradient density into AHOG to mitigate the influences caused by repetitive backgrounds. Moreover, we reject the false detections via an elliptical verifier learned when one tries to approximate a human shape. In the experiments, our proposed human detection method demonstrates highly reliable accuracy and provides the comparable performance to the state-of-the-art human detector on different databases.

# CONTENTS

口試委員	員會審	定書	#
誌謝			i
中文摘要	훈		ii
ABSTRA	АСТ		iii
CONTE	NTS		iv
LIST OF	FIGU	JRES	vii
LIST OF	TABI	LES	ix
Chapter	1 ]	Introduction	1
1.1	Mo	otivation	2
1.2	Cha	allenges of Human Detection	3
1.3	Rel	lated Work	8
1.4	Obj	jective	11
1.5	Org	ganization	11
Chapter	2 ]	Preliminaries	13
2.1	Pro	blem Definition	13
2.2	Sup	pport Vector Machine (SVM)	14
	2.2.1	Objective of SVM	15
	2.2.2	Preliminary Knowledge of SVM	16
2.3	Ada	aBoost Algorithm	18
	2.3.1	Objective of AdaBoost Algorithm	19
	2.3.2	Preliminary Knowledge of AdaBoost Algorithm	19
	2.3.3	Preliminary Knowledge of Cascaded AdaBoost Algorithm.	21

2	2.4	App	roach Overview	25
2	2.5	Sum	mary of Contributions	25
Chap	oter	3 Н	luman Candidate Detection	27
3	3.1	Aug	mented Histograms of Oriented Gradients	27
		3.1.1	Feature Type	
		3.1.2	Gradient Computation	31
		3.1.3	Symmetry	
		3.1.4	Gradient Density	36
		3.1.5	Contour Distance	37
		3.1.6	Dominant Orientation Rotation	39
		3.1.7	Orientation Histogram Construction	41
3	3.2	Trair	ning	42
3	3.3	Dete	ction	43
		3.3.1	Human Potential Location	43
		3.3.2	Classification	44
Chap	oter	4 H	luman Candidate Verification	46
4	4.1	Ellip	ose Approximation	47
		4.1.1	Connected Components	47
		4.1.2	Moments	48
4	4.2	Trair	ning & Verification	50
Chap	oter	5 E	xperiment	52
5	5.1	Envi	ronment Description	52
5	5.2	Data	base	52
5	5.3	Trair	ning	53
		5.3.1	Discussion of Training Process	55

5.4	Expe	riment Results	57
	5.4.1	Performance of MIT Database	57
	5.4.2	Performance of Our Database	58
Chapter	:6 C	onclusion	63
Reference	ces		64



# LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1	Examples include targets with a variety of difficult factors
Fig. 1.2	Camera moves up and down while crossing the slowdown roadblocks6
Fig. 1.3	Examples of interlaced problem7
Fig. 2.1	Comparison of two hyperplanes15
Fig. 2.2	Concept of SVM16
Fig. 2.3	Pseudocode of AdaBoost algorithm21
Fig. 2.4	Cascaded classifier
Fig. 2.5	Pseudocode of cascaded AdaBoost algorithm
Fig. 2.6	Approach overview
Fig. 3.1	Overview of AHOG construction
Fig. 3.2	AHOG feature structure
Fig. 3.3	AHOG feature type
Fig. 3.4	Illustration of aspect ratio and block location interval
Fig. 3.5	Illustration of gradient at $(x, y)$
Fig. 3.6	Symmetry exists in humans
Fig. 3.7	Comparison of symmetric weighted and Gaussian weighted (intensity of
	block means the weighted values). (a) Feature block. (b) Symmetry weight.
	(c) Gaussian weight
Fig. 3.8	Flow of computing symmetry weighted window
Fig. 3.9	The symmetry weighted values in longitudinal and lateral views
Fig. 3.10	Weighted gradient image
Fig. 3.11	Repetitive patterns

Fig. 3.12	Contour distance	38
Fig. 3.13	Limb variation	39
Fig. 3.14	Orientation histograms	40
Fig. 3.15	Flow of dominant orientation rotation	41
Fig. 3.16	Quantized orientation histograms	42
Fig. 3.17	Visualization of orientation histograms	42
Fig. 3.18	Potential detecting windows	44
Fig. 4.1	False detected candidates	47
Fig. 4.2	Connected component	48
Fig. 4.3	Best-fit ellipse estimation	50
Fig. 5.1	Camera configuration	52
Fig. 5.2	Human Databases	53
Fig. 5.3	Number of weak classifier of each stage	54
Fig. 5.4	Rejection rate of each stage	54
Fig. 5.5	Intensity histograms of feature block	56
Fig. 5.6	Visualizing the selected HOG and AHOG	57
Fig. 5.7	ROC curve	58
Fig. 5.8	Experiment results	62

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Traffic Accidents in Taiwan Area	(Source:	National	Police	Agency,
	Ministry of the Interior of R.O.C.)				2
Table 5.1	Platform details				
Table 5.2	Performance of each video.				



# Chapter 1 Introduction

Nowadays, computers in various forms appear almost everywhere in our daily lives, and they perform tasks of repetitively computing a huge amount of data, more efficiently and more accurately than humans. It is natural to try to extend the computing capabilities to do more intelligent tasks such as interpretation of visual scene or speech, logical inference, and reasoning. Let's take the human visual system as an example. There are thousands of objects ranging from man made classes, like cars, bicycles, buildings, windows, to natural ones, like dogs, cows, trees, leaves, mountains and humans. Any one of these has large intra-class variation. For example "car" is used to denote the vehicles which have four wheels. Many various sub-categories are included in this class like a sedan, roadster, jeep or trunk. However, different sizes, colors, or viewpoints, will not affect the human's capability to recognize vehicles. In the same way we have ability to find people even though they are under widely varied conditions, such as with difficult clothes, accessories, poses, partial occlusions, levels of illumination or kinds of background clutter. Currently, the capability of computers is still far behind that of humans when performing such tasks. Thus, one objective of this research is to enable computers to interpret human objects in the images or videos. In fact, many applications will follow this sharp ability, for example, human computer interaction, autonomous robotics, automatic analysis of digital media content, and pedestrian warning system.

In this chapter, we introduce the problem of object detection, especially in detecting people. We start in Sect. 1.1 with the motivation of human detection, and then in Sect. 1.2 we discuss objectives and some difficulties of this work. We also review some researches related to this problem and summarizes the contributions of them in

Sect 1.3. Finally, the outline of this thesis is given in Sect 1.4.

### **1.1** Motivation

Understanding human activity from a video is an active research in the field of computer vision in the last few years and it has applications in various fields, such as surveillance, intelligent user interface, and pedestrian warning system for intelligent vehicle. Before recognizing the human activity, we have to know where the humans are. Once the human is detected, the system can do further processing to analyze the human activity. For an example of pedestrian safety, the most serious problems that lead to traffic accidents are often due to carelessness of the driver on the pedestrian. Actually, during recent five years, there are about 14,000 people who were killed out of the 13,300 fatal accidents in Taiwan, referring to Table 1.1. Thus, a pedestrian warning system based on an advanced human detection mechanism is needed to reduce the number of accidents caused by unawareness, since it constantly reminds the driver to take care of all the relevant traffic participants like pedestrians or motorbike riders.

Year	Number of Fatal Accidents	Killed (Persons)	Injured (Persons)
2003	2,572	2,718	1,262
2004	2,502	2,634	1,248
2005	2,767	2,894	1,383
2006	2,999	3,140	1,301
2007	2,463	2,573	1,006
Total	13,303	13,959	6,200

Table 1.1 Traffic Accidents in Taiwan Area

(Source: National Police Agency, Ministry of the Interior of R.O.C.)

### **1.2** Challenges of Human Detection

The human category in object detection is probably one of the most difficult cases because it combines the difficulties of dealing with a moving camera, a broad range of deformable object appearances and poses, various types of human clothes, complex backgrounds, and highly varied illumination conditions in the outdoor environments. In the following, we discuss and analyze each difficulty of this problem.

First, the general image formation suppresses 3D depth information of the objects. Different camera viewpoints slightly changes positions and orientations of the objects in the image. In other words, the object image could have large variations in varied scales. Thus, a sound object detector has to tackle the problems of changing viewpoints and scales.

Second, unstable illumination and various object colors also affect decision made by the object detector. For example, objects appearing directly under sunlight or trees may affect the target region due to possible blending of tree shadows. A sound object detector must handle the color changes and provide an invariant method to accommodate a broad range of illumination changes.

Third, natural objects usually have high intra-class variations, like mankind. Because human is an articulated object, its pose generally varies with time. Besides that, human appearances often change with clothes or accessories he or she wears. A reliable object detector must be independent of these variations.

Fourth, complex background varies with time while the camera is non-stationary. For example, the videos are taken under various setting, such as in outdoor environments in cities or within indoor scenes. Moreover, there are many patterns which repetitively occurr in the background, like the trunks of trees, windows on the wall, and streetlamps. These factors in the background may lead to many false detections. In order to alleviate the effects caused by these factors, more strict decision rules are needed, but these strict measures may conflict with the previous challenge. That is, because of the high intra-class variations, the system should loosen the decision rules to reduce the number of missed detection of targets. Therefore, a robust object detector must possess the capability of distinguishing targets from the clutter background.

Fifth, partial occlusions cause further difficulties since parts of the object become invisible and the remaining parts contain insufficient information for subsequent processing.

Fig. 1.1 shows some examples to indicate the difficulties of human detection. It includes a wide range of variation in scales, illumination, clothing, pose, appearance, and environment. The targets in the figures almost all have partial occlusions and some targets are even the dummies.

Finally, detecting objects in the video has additional challenges, although the motion feature can provide extra information for helping object detection, the feature becomes useless in the static images. The first challenge is how to remove adverse imaging due to sudden jump of the camera and which usually occurs on the scraggy ground, like pits, drain covers, or tiles. Fig. 1.2 shows image examples taken subjected to unstable shaking of camera while the camera platform crosses the slowdown roadblocks. By observing the sequence of images, we know that the height of object with respect to image coordinate is very unstable in a short time. Another challenge is hard to compute the target's motion vector very clearly when the velocity of camera is much faster than that of the target sometimes on when the target motion is unobvious and the target is far from the camera. The third challenge is that the problem caused by interlaced process while the camera captures the data. This interlaced process causes

some adverse effects, such as an edge flicker, an interline flicker, and a line crawling, which especially occurs when object's moving direction is different from that of the camera. Fig. 1.3 reveals some adverse effects on images due to the problem with interlaced process on objects' boundary. These artifacts make the object's appearance more uncertain as that the object becomes harder to be recognized. For these reasons, a reliable object detector has to use a robust feature set to cope with the above difficulties and to achieve reliable detection.





Fig. 1.1 Examples include targets with a variety of difficult factors.



Fig. 1.2 Camera moves up and down while crossing the slowdown roadblocks.







Fig. 1.3 Examples of interlaced problem

### 1.3 Related Work

In recent years, these have been widespread interests in studying problems with human detection, and many researches results have been repeated. So far, the proposed various methods can be classified into motion-based type and appearance-based type according to the way the targets are represented.

The motion-based approach observes the human's motion in human movement through video sequences. The most direct way is to learn the typical motion patterns of human movement. For example, Viola et al. [1] compute the different directions between successive images as human motion patterns, which and then learned by AdaBoost algorithm to obtain a set of decision rules for detecting people. Little and Boyd [2] take the optical flow of two successive images as feature points of the human's motion and analyze the motion periodicity to confirm the existence of moving human. In [3], Heisele and Woehler extract the corresponding regions of two successive images through region tracking technique and use the Time-Delay Neural Network to detect the changing frequency of the width of the observing region to achieve human detection. Through observation of image sequences, it is known that the gait of human's walking is a distinct feature as suggested by Wang et al.[4]. Therefore, some researches put this periodic motion feature - gait - in use. In Cunado et al.[5], there are detailed descriptions and definitions about human gaits. They propose a pendulum model to describe the process of human's walking. Curio *et al.* [6] combine texture and contour information extracted from video sequences along with motion patterns of humans' gaits. Niyogi and Adelson [7] compute the differences of human silhouette in XYT-axis frame to obtain the gait pattern for gait detection. Based on the results in [8], Yang Ran et al. [9, 10] proposed a Twin-Pendulum Model to represent the gait of walking people. They use image processing techniques to find the maximal and minimal angles between two limbs as the periodical motion features.

On the other hand, the appearance-based approach uses a set of appearance features of static human image to detect the existence of the target. This category uses low-level features to represent the possible human looks and apply standard pattern recognition process to find the corresponding appearance for detecting humans. Broggi et al. [11] use vertical symmetry properties of human shape to detect the position and the size of a human. Hayfron *et al.* [12] detect the humans by analyzing the symmetry information in spatio-temporal domain. Wu and Yu [13] proposed a two-layer statistical field model combining the Boltzman model and Markov model to describe the features of the non-rigid human shape. Their approach still works even when some parts of the human body are self occluded. Besides, the two-layer statistical field model flexibly describes the observations from the image. Another solution of appearance-based approach is based on template matching, which constructs the human templates from different viewing angles and poses and detects the humans by comparing the appearance feature with the constructed templates. For representing human appearance, Gavrila et al. [14, 15] and Liu et al. [16] characterize the human shape by silhouette or edge image and then transfer them into distance transformed images. But the above approaches fail to detect partially occluded humans due to the fact that they only take the global features - entire human shape. Thus, many researches detect humans via detection of each part of the human body and analysis of the relations among them to reconstruct the human shape. An example is by Mohan et al. [17], where they use an Adaptive Combination of Classifiers (ACC) to detect all kinds of body parts and integrate all part-classifiers to classify the humans. For more examples, Ramanan et al. [18] propose a pose model based on the human body parts, and they use a set of human poses to lock

the human candidates who are then tracked through detecting of the model generated from each image. Leibe et al. [19] propose an Implicit Shape Model (ISM) to model the relations between body parts and body centroid, and then apply a voting process to determine the human's position. In order to tackle the problem with translation, scale, and orientation, many low-level features are proposed as well. For example, Oren et al. [20] propose Haar vertical and horizontal wavelets to compute the intensity variations of the target's appearance. The results by Wu and Nevatia [21] and by Sabzmeydani and Mori [22] use the edgelets and shapelets as the local features to describe the human shape. The edgelet feature is constructed by comparing the similarity between images and predefined edgelet templates, which differ in number of edge, orientations, single or pair. Similar to edgelet feature, shapelet feature is a set of edgelet feature. In another word, shapelet feature is a piece of shape. In addition, the work by N. Dalal et al.[23] is the first one which uses the Histograms of Oriented Gradients (HOG) to represent the features of people and becomes the performance benchmark in the field of human detection. Based on [23], Zhu et al. [24] use variable feature types to describe humans more flexibly and also improve the processing time by changing single complex classifier into a cascaded set of simple classifiers. Wang et al. [25] rotate each HOG feature according to its orientation to achieve the invariance of geometrical translation and rotation.

Recently, many automobile manufacturers including Toyota, DaimlerChrysler, BMW, Volvo, Honda, etc...have spent many efforts on transferring the technology of human detection to develop a pedestrian warning system on an intelligent vehicle. For example, the Mobileye [26] has developed maturity products that are equipped on advanced vehicles, but the prices of the entire system are still expensive because the system includes various types of sensors, like radar, laser, and camera. The related information and materials of their work can be found on their respective web sites.

## 1.4 **Objective**

This thesis research aims at the problem of human detection in visual images and videos. In particular, we address the topic as how to constructing a human detector from a view point of computer vision, where the detector is used to search through the input images or videos for humans and their locations. For being more precise, we can see a human detector as a combination of two parts: a feature extraction algorithm which encodes image regions or parts of videos as feature vector, and a detector which uses the computed feature vector to determine whether the object is human or non-human. We give the formal problem definition in the Section 2.1.

### **1.5** Organization

This chapter introduces a brief background of object detection in computer vision, gives the reason why we need to detect humans, and discusses what difficulties in human detection. We also introduce the state-of-the-art results in the field and give the summaries of these pieces of work. The remaining chapters are organized as follows:

**Chapter 2** describes the problem of human detection and some preliminary knowledge about machine learning. In final section, we give the overview of our approach.

**Chapter 3** describes the computation of Augmented Histograms of Oriented Gradients (AHOG) feature vector in detail. It discusses the human shape properties and also presents the steps of training and detection.

Chapter 4 presents the approximation of human candidates detected from

classifier mentioned in Chapter 3. It describes the details of calculating the features of a human shape, such as connected components and moments.

**Chapter 5** gives the details of experiment and also introduces the benchmark human databases. The performance and the discussion are presented in the final section.

**Chapter 6** concludes the salient features of our approach and provides a discussion of the advantages and the limitations of the work. It also suggests some directions for future work in this research.



# **Chapter 2 Preliminaries**

This chapter states with the mathematical definition of human detection problem, and them introduces preliminary knowledge about some relevant learning algorithms. Specially, support Vector Machine (SVM) and AdaBoost algorithm are used in the training process in this research work and their details are given in sections 2.2 and 2.3. In section 2.4, we provide an approach overview of the proposed approach and describe the relations between different functions. Finally, the contributions of this thesis are summarized in section 2.5.

## 2.1 Problem Definition

The problem of human detection using a monocular camera can be described as follows. Given the currently observed image frame, the objective is to estimate a collection of parameters that encode the positions of exactly N humans in each image. Here, the location of each human is encoded by a set of ellipse parameters, namely,  $(x_c,$  $y_c$ ) being centroid of ellipse,  $\theta$  being its orientation, and a and b are lengths of the major and minor axes, respectively. In order to determine the parameters  $\{x_c, y_c, \theta, a, b\}$ , a detecting window W is first used to scan the entire image and is then further classified non-human window classifier as human or by a H(.), that is  $H(W) \in \{\text{human, non-human}\}.$ 

We adopt the AdaBoost algorithm [27] to construct the classifier H(.) by selecting a set of K discriminative features  $\{f_i | i=1,...,K\}$  and their associated weak classifiers  $\{h_i | i=1,...,K\}$ . Each weak classifier is of the form:

$$h_i(f_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p_i \varphi(f_i) < p_i \tau_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

where  $\varphi(.)$  is a mapping function that maps a feature to a real value,  $\tau_i \in (-\infty, \infty)$  is the classification threshold, and  $p_i = \pm 1$  indicates the direction of inequality sign. Then, the classifier H(.) can be further formulated as follows.

$$H(W) = \begin{cases} \text{human} & \sum_{i=1}^{K} \alpha_i h_i(f_i) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \alpha_i + c \\ \text{non-human} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

where  $\alpha_i$  is the selected weight of the weak classifier  $h_i$ , which is inversely proportional to the error rate computed by AdaBoost algorithm, and *c* is a constant for adjusting the thresholds to meet the need of the desired detecting criteria.

The following section gives the formal definition and mathematical inference of the Support Vector Machine (SVM) theory and also describes how to use the SVM to construct the weak classifiers h based on the given feature set. Following brief review of SVM, we introduce the main idea of the AdaBoost algorithm in the further section, which specifically goes over the objective and the theory of the AdaBoost and then presents the detail about the steps of selecting discriminative weak classifiers while adopting the AdaBoost algorithm. Besides, for saving the detecting time, how we apply the cascaded AdaBoost algorithm is also described here.

## 2.2 Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Support Vector Machine (SVM) is a machine learning algorithm proposed by Vapnik [28] based on statistical learning theory, and it is used to perform data classification or regression. SVM has many particular advantages in solving the pattern recognition problems with small number of samples, or being non-linear and high-dimensional, and it also has been used in many practical applications, such as face recognition, 3D object recognition, text categorization, and image classification.

#### 2.2.1 Objective of SVM

The idea of SVM is that given two sets of classified data, SVM first acquires a classification model after the training process, and then uses the classification model trained by the given data to predict the class to which the non-classified data belong. In simple terms, the objective of SVM is to find a hyperplane to separate data of two sets (i.e. black dots and white dots) in the feature space, referring to Fig. 2.1. Notice that the distance between two parallel solid lines, also called "margin", in Fig. 2.1 (a) is shorter than that in Fig. 2.1 (b), that is, the margin in Fig. 2.1 (b) is greater than that in Fig. 2.1 (clarify, the margin being greater is better because our goal is to find a hyperplane to separate the two classes clearly, and hence the ideal hyperplane should be the one in Fig. 2.1 (b) in this example. The following context provides the formal definition of the problem.



Fig. 2.1 Comparison of two hyperplanes



Fig. 2.2 Concept of SVM

### 2.2.2 Preliminary Knowledge of SVM

Since SVM is a supervised learning approach, we need to label each training datum before training process. We formulate the problem as follows: given the training data set:  $\{(x_i, y_i) | i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ ,  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $y_i \in \{+1, -1\}$ ,  $x_i$  is the feature vector which represents the datum *i* and  $y_i$  indicates the class label of the datum. The goal is to find a line  $f(x) = w^T x + b$  to separate all data points with label -1 into the region of f(x) < 0 and, on the contrary, all data points with label +1 into the opposite region, namely, region of f(x) > 0. Therefore, the sign of f(x) determines which class the training data belong to. This line is called separating hyperplane, and the separating hyperplane with the maximum margin is the optimal separating hyperplane. The concept of SVM is shown in Fig. 2.2, where the support hyperplane (blue line) is the hyperplane which is parallel to separating hyperplane and is closest to the data points. The support

hyperplanes are formulated as follows:

$$w^{T}x + b = \delta$$

$$w^{T}x + b = -\delta$$
(2.3)

where w is the normal vector of the hyperplane and b is the bias which is the shift distance from the origin. The above equations leads to a over-parameterized problem, that is, supposing we multiply  $x, b, \delta$  by some arbitrary constant, the equations remain essentially identical, which means that there are infinite sets of parameters  $x, b, \delta$  that can satisfy the equations. In order to eliminate the uncertainty and simplify the problem, we multiply a constant to scale the parameters and thus the equations can be rewritten as:

$$w^{T}x + b = 1$$

$$w^{T}x + b = -1$$
(2.4)

Finding the optimal separating hyperplane is equivalent to finding the support hyperplanes with the maximum margin. Thus, we have to maximize the margin = 2/||w||, as to minimize ||w||/2. By recalling the property of the separating hyperplane, can reformulate eq. (2.4) as follows:

$$w^{T}x_{i} + b \leq -1 \quad \text{, if } \forall y_{i} = -1$$
  

$$w^{T}x_{i} + b \geq +1 \quad \text{, if } \forall y_{i} = +1$$
(2.5)

$$y_i(w^T x_i + b) - 1 \ge 0$$
 (2.6)

To sum up from the discussions given above, we obtain the objective function: minimize ||w||/2 subjected to  $y_i(w^T x_i + b) - 1 \ge 0$ ,  $\forall i$ , which comprises the primal problem of SVM. Because the objective function is a quadratic function, which can be solved by Quadratic Programming, the Lagrange Multiplier Method is used to solve the quadratic function with constraints. Specifically, the objective function is transformed to  $L(w, b, \alpha)$ :

$$L(w,b,\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left[ y_i (w^T x_i + b) - 1 \right] \text{ where } \alpha_i \ge 0$$
 (2.7)

where  $\alpha_i$  is the Lagrange multiplier. To minimize the function *L*, one applies partial differentiation on *L* w.r.t. w and b, namely,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = w - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i x_i = 0 \Longrightarrow w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i x_i$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0$$
(2.8)

Therefore, the optimal separating hyperplane:  $f(x) = w^{T}x + b$  can be represented as:

$$f(x) = w^{T}x + b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} x_{i}^{T} x + b$$
(2.9)

Now if  $\alpha_i \ge 0$ , datum *i* is on the support hyperplane and it is also called support vector. After obtaining the support vectors, we use them to determine the class to which the datum *x* belong by following the classification function below:

$$f(x) = \operatorname{sgn}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} x_{i}^{T} x + b\right]$$
(2.10)

### 2.3 AdaBoost Algorithm

The AdaBoost algorithm, first introduced by Freund and Schapire [29], constructs a strong classifier which contains a set of weak classifiers, each of which only needs to have classification rate better than random guess (*i.e.* error rate < 50%). In computer vision, AdaBoost algorithm is commonly used to find a reliable object detector because it has many advantages: fast and easy to program, only one parameter to tune (the number of iteration), no need to acquire prior knowledge about the weak classifier, and theoretical assurance of obtaining reliable weak hypothesis with sufficient data. Since no prior knowledge is required, the weak classifier can be flexibly combined with any means for finding weak hypotheses. Thus, many researches have proposed to fuse various types of feature under the AdaBoost framework and several applications have been accomplished under this framework, such as text categorization, natural language processing, general object detection, and face detection seen nowadays on many commercial digital cameras.

#### 2.3.1 Objective of AdaBoost Algorithm

The objective of AdaBoost algorithm is to find a strong classifier consisting of a set of weak classifiers chosen from a given training data set containing positive and negative data. For each iteration of AdaBoost algorithm, the best weak classifier is chosen according to the error rate that relates to the weight of training data. The main idea of the algorithm is to focus on the incorrectly classified data, called hard examples, by increasing the weight of them to force the algorithm to concentrate on these hard examples. Finally, the selected weak classifiers are combined after their weights are summarized, each is computed by its corresponding error rate *w.r.t.* training data, so as to construct the final strong classifier.

#### 2.3.2 Preliminary Knowledge of AdaBoost Algorithm

AdaBoost is also a supervised learning algorithm for a given train set:  $\{(x_i, y_i) | i=1,...,m\}$ , where  $x_i \in \{X | \text{instance space}\}$ ,  $y_i \in Y = \{-1,+1\}$ . The algorithm generates a weak classifier for each iteration, t = 1,...,T, and also maintains a distribution of weights over the training set. The distributed weight on the training datum *i* in iteration *t* is denoted as  $D_i(i)$ . At the beginning, we initialize all weights of the training data by assigning them to an identical value and after each iteration, the weights of incorrectly

classified data are increased to make the algorithm choose the best weak classifier according to the weights of the training data at the current iteration. Based on increased of the weights of the hard examples, the algorithm can still maintain the high accuracy with low false positives.

The job of a weak classifier is to determine the label of the training datum X with certain weights. Thus a mapping function that maps a set of real values to real number is  $h_t: X \to \{-1, +1\}$ . The criterion for selecting a weak classifier is dependent on its error on the training data. The error  $\varepsilon_t$  of weak classifier t is computed by the following equation and the AdaBoost algorithm pseudocode is given in Fig. 2.3

$$\varepsilon_{i} = Pr_{i \sim D_{i}} \left[ h_{i}(x_{i}) \neq y_{i} \right] = \sum_{i:h_{i}(x_{i}) \neq y_{i}} D_{i}(i)$$
(2.11)



Fig. 2.3 Pseudocode of AdaBoost algorithm

#### 2.3.3 Preliminary Knowledge of Cascaded AdaBoost Algorithm

In order to reduce the classification time cost by the strong classifier learned from the AdaBoost algorithm, we adopt the cascaded AdaBoost algorithm proposed by Viola and Jones [27]. The cascaded AdaBoost algorithm is used for constructing a cascaded weak classifiers which achieves increased classification performance and extremely decreases the computation time. The main idea of the cascaded classifier is to use the simpler classifiers to reject the majority of non-targets before more complex classifiers are invoked in order to achieve low false positive rates. Besides that, the detection rate is maintained as well by means of adjusting the threshold of a weak classifier to achieve a false negative rate that is close to zero. The cascaded classifier can be seen as a general decision tree, as shown in Fig. 2.4. A positive result passes all stages of the cascaded classifier and on the contrary negative results are rejected at some stage immediately. The structure of the cascaded classifier reflects that a large number of negative examples are eliminated with very little processing in the previous stages and additional negative examples are eliminated by the subsequent stages. After several stages, the number of examples has been reduced drastically and hence the detecting time has been greatly improved. Different from the AdaBoost algorithm, the training data will change with different stage except at the first iteration. Because of the decision tree-like structure, subsequent classifiers are trained from those training examples which pass all stages up to the current one. Of course, in the further classifiers will face a more difficult task than the previous ones.



Fig. 2.4 Cascaded classifier

To obtain efficient cascade to meet false positive rate F and detection rate D, the optimal way is to minimize the expected number of features evaluated. Since this

optimization is extremely difficult, in practice, a simple framework is to choose the maximum acceptable false positive rate and the minimum acceptable detection rate per stage. Each stage is trained by adding weak classifiers until the required detection rate and false positive rates are met. These rates are measured by testing the classifier on a validation set. The final cascaded classifier stops adding stages whenever the overall detection rate and the false positive rate meet the requirement. The detailed pseudocode of the cascaded AdaBoost algorithm is given in Fig. 2.5.



#### Input:

```
F_{overall}: overall false positive rate
    f_{\rm max}: the maximum acceptable false positive rate per stage
    d_{min}: the minimum acceptable detection rate per stage
    Pos: set of positive examples
    Neg: set of negative examples
initialze:
    i=0, D_i=1.0, F_i=1.0
While F_i > F_{overall}
      i++
     f_i = 1.0
      While f_i > f_{max}
            •train a number of linear SVMs using Pos and Neg examples
            (the number of trained linear SVMs is depended on the size of feature types)
            •select the best SVM into the strong classifier
            •update the weight of training examples in AdaBoost way
            •evaluate Pos and Neg by current strong classifier to determine f_i and D_i
            While D_i < d_{min}
                  decrease the threshold of ith classifier
            End while
            •compute f_i under this threshold
      End while
      F_{i+1} = F_i \times f_i
      D_{i+1} = D_i \times d_{min}
      Neg = \emptyset
      if F_{i} > F_{i+1}
         •evaluate the crrent cascade classifier on the negative examples
         and put false detections (false positives) into set Neg
End while
Output:
      A i-stage (includes a boosted classifiers of SVMs) strong classifier
      with training accuracy: F_i and D_i
```

Fig. 2.5 Pseudocode of cascaded AdaBoost algorithm

## 2.4 Approach Overview

There are two fundamental steps for detecting a human target in our approach. In the beginning, we use grid search over the entire image employing detecting windows with varied scales, and the window's scale in fact varies with the distance between the camera and the objects, which is also called depth. We represent a detecting window by a set of Augmented Histograms of Oriented Gradients (AHOG) features and generate the AHOG feature vectors as the inputs to the cascaded classifier learned by the cascaded AdaBoost algorithm. The detecting windows passing all stages are considered as the human candidates. The human candidates generated from the classifier need further validation that is accomplished via approximation of the connected components of the human candidates. The moments of the connected component are used to find a fitting ellipse, which is parameterized by size and the orientation. Finally, an ellipse verifier trained by SVM with the given these ellipse parameters is adopted to reject some false candidates for improving the false positive rates. Fig. 2.6 gives the overview of our approach.

## 2.5 Summary of Contributions

The contributions of this thesis are summarized in the following. The first is the symmetry property of human shape is taken as the weight for each HOG feature. Many noises caused by the complex background may influence measurement of the symmetry property, and thus we add the gradient density to alleviate the noise effects. Besides that, we also transfer the distance between centroid and contour into HOG features to model the geometrical relation between features and centroid. After modeling the relations among features and centroid, the more reliable representing power of a target is

provided. Moreover, the further human shape approximation by moments of candidate's connected components increases the detection performance. The difference from the related work is to integrate these human shape properties into HOG features for increasing accuracy while detecting humans. The contributions of our approach are combining human shape properties and HOG to form Augmented HOG features which one then used to represent humans and to verify the candidates by an elliptical verifier to reduce false positives.



Fig. 2.6 Approach overview
# **Chapter 3** Human Candidate Detection

This chapter presents the details of generating human candidates. It includes the proposed Augmented Histogram of Oriented Gradient (AHOG) feature set, which describes the feature types, human shape properties, and how to construct an AHOG feature vector. In the next, it gives the description of the training process and analyzes the learned discriminative AHOG features used to illustrate a detecting window. Finally, it presents the method of generating a varied size detecting window for classifying the detected object into a human candidate or a non-human one.

# 3.1 Augmented Histograms of Oriented Gradients

In this section, we describe two major types of the AHOG encoding method and present the key parameters involved in each type. Section 3.1.1 defines the AHOG feature type, and section 3.1.2 describes the gradient computation. The human shape properties are presented in sections 3.1.3~3.1.5, which includes the symmetry, gradient density, and contour distance. Geometrical rotation invariance and feature vector construction are given at the end of this section. The overview of constructing Augmented Histograms of Oriented Gradients is shown in Fig. 3.1, which gives the flow path between each section.



Fig. 3.1 Overview of AHOG construction

## 3.1.1 Feature Type

There are two types of AHOG feature used in this work, namely, Rectangular AHOG (R-AHOG) and Circular AHOG (C-AHOG). Note that R-AHOG feature block uses rectangular grids of cell whereas C-AHOG feature block is divided into grids of cell of log-polar form. The AHOG feature structure is shown in Fig. 3.2. The left one is R-AHOG composed of four grids of cell which divide a feature block evenly. On the contrary, C-AHOG is shown on the right hand side and it is formed with a central cell and three semi-tire shape cells.

R-AHOGs are similar to the SIFT feature as proposed by Lowe [30], but they are actually quite different. SIFT features are computed at a sparse set of scale-invariant key points with a Gaussian weighted mask, whereas R-AHOGs are calculated in grids at a certain scale without calling for a Gaussian weighted mask. Moreover, the cell position of the block encodes spatial position relative to the detecting window in the final feature vector. SIFTs are optimized for sparse wide baseline matching, whereas R-AHOGs for dense robust coding of spatial form.

Besides, C-AHOGs are used to encode the shape context into feature vector and allow fine coding of nearby structure to be combined with coarser coding of broader context. The C-AHOG structure has four parameters to denote a layout: center's position of the block, radius of outer circle, radius of central cell, and expansion angles for subsequent radii.



Fig. 3.2 AHOG feature structure

Besides different types of dividing a block in different forms of cells, we use variable size features for feature selection. Because using multiple scales of blocks and cells improves capability of describing an object reported in Dalal's approach [23], for a  $64 \times 128$  detecting window, we allow the size of all blocks vary from  $12 \times 12$  to  $64 \times 128$ . The aspect ratio between block width and block height can be one of the following choices, (1:1), (2:1), and (1:2), for each block. Fig. 3.3 shows the AHOG feature blocks with different aspect ratios, sizes, and the cell order ( $c_i$ : *i*th. cell). Some aspect ratios may correspond to some meaningful ratios of human body, and Fig. 3.4(a) gives some examples, say, (1:1) and (1:2), which correspond to human's head and shoulder and entire human body, respectively. Three interval sizes, 4, 6, 8 pixels of overlapped block locations are used to form a dense grid of overlapping blocks depends on the block size. It means that if the block size is large, the interval should be small in order to well represent the detecting window, and Fig. 3.4(b) illustrates such idea.



### Fig. 3.3 AHOG feature type



Fig. 3.4 Illustration of aspect ratio and block location interval

# 3.1.2 Gradient Computation

This section describes gradient computation for each pixel. It is accomplished by applying discrete derivative kernels in two directions, horizontal kernel  $G_h$ =[-1, 0, 1] and vertical kernel  $G_v$ = [-1, 0, 1]<sup>T</sup>, to obtain the horizontal difference  $d_h(x, y)$  and vertical difference  $d_v(x, y)$  at location (x, y). The illustration of gradient computation is given in Fig. 3.5.

$$d_{h}(x, y) = f(x, y) * G_{h}$$
  

$$d_{v}(x, y) = f(x, y) * G_{v}$$
(3.1)



Fig. 3.5 Illustration of gradient at (x, y)

where \* denotes convolution operator. Moreover, the gradient magnitude mag(x, y) is calculated by square root of squares sum of horizontal difference and vertical difference, and the orientation of gradient is computed by the following equations:

$$mag(x, y) = \sqrt{d_h(x, y)^2 + d_v(x, y)^2}$$
(3.2)

$$\theta(x, y) = \tan^{-1}(\frac{d_v(x, y)}{d_h(x, y)})$$
(3.3)



Fig. 3.6 Symmetry exists in humans.



Fig. 3.7 Comparison of symmetric weighted and Gaussian weighted (intensity of block means the weighted values). (a) Feature block. (b) Symmetry weight. (c) Gaussian weight.

### 3.1.3 Symmetry

Many researches have shown that representing the human local feature by HOGs is effective in human detection, but it lacks for encoding the beneficial geometric properties, such as symmetry and the relative positions between each part and the human body. We discover that the highly symmetric property exists in human shape no matter whether the human is walking or is standing. Take a walking person for an example; the swinging limbs are at about the same height and are symmetric with respect to human center (other examples are shown in Fig. 3.6).

Here, the idea behind our work is to focus on the informative parts of human body rather than the center of each block, and Fig. 3.7 gives an example on the lateral view of a walking human. As can be seen, if the Gaussian weighted window is applied, the feature will only focus on the central part which corresponds to the useless ground plane. Hence, we take the similarity values of two feature blocks which have symmetric coordinates with respect to the vertical symmetry axis as the new weights used in multiplying the gradient magnitude while constructing the orientation histograms. We assume the symmetry axis is in the vertical center of detecting window. The similarity values are obtained by computing the distance of each pixel of two blocks. In order to be insensitive to slight shift and rotation affection, we not merely think about the similarity of single pixel, but also consider the pixels in the neighborhood instead. The similarity computation flow is shown in Fig. 3.8, and its value *similar(x, y)* of location (x, y) is measured by the Bhattacharyya distance as follows:

similar(x, y) = 
$$\sqrt{f'(x, y) \times m(x, y)}$$
 (3.4)

where f'(x, y) is the flipped block which flips all pixels over in the original block and m(x, y) is the mirror block at symmetric coordinates. The symmetry weighted value

*SymWeight*(x, y) of location (x, y) is computed by the following equations:

$$SymWeight(x, y) = \frac{\sum_{(x', y') \in N_k(x, y)} similar(x', y')}{\#(N_k(x, y))}$$
(3.5)

$$N_{k}(x, y) = \{(x', y') \in Z_{0}^{+} \mid x - \frac{k}{2} \le x' \le x + \frac{k}{2} \text{ and } y - \frac{k}{2} \le y' \le y + \frac{k}{2}\}$$
(3.6)

where  $N_k(x, y)$  means the set of pixels in the neighborhood of (x, y), k is the size of neighbors,  $\mathbb{Z}_0^+$  is the set of non-negative integers, and  $\#(N_k(x, y))$  is the number of pixels in the neighborhood. Thus, we express the equation of symmetry weighted value in another way as:

$$SymWeight(x, y) = \frac{\sum_{(x', y') \in N_k(x, y)} similar(x', y')}{4\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor + 2}$$
(3.7)

The visualization of symmetry weighted values of a human can be set in two views as shown in Fig. 3.9, which are generated by overlapped grids of smallest cell. The enhanced symmetry part of gradients is given in Fig. 3.10. As can been seen, the discriminative part – shank – is clearly revealed after multiplying the gradients by symmetry weighted window.



Fig. 3.8 Flow of computing symmetry weighted window.



Fig. 3.9 The symmetry weighted values in longitudinal and lateral views.



Fig. 3.10 Weighted gradient image

# 3.1.4 Gradient Density

In practice, there are many repetitive patterns that may cause symmetry computation to fail in the usual scenario. Fig. 3.11 gives some samples of repetitive patterns which occur in the usual scene and these possibly affect the decision of determining the object's class. To alleviate this affection, combining symmetry and gradient density makes it possible to concentrate on the objects with certain intensity. Consequently, the gradient density of a block is given by the number of gradient magnitude which is greater than a threshold and then divided by the number of non-zero gradient magnitude. The density value *density*<sub>block</sub> is given in equation (3.8). Thus,

combining symmetry and gradient density eases off the influence caused by repetitive patterns in the background. ( #(.) denotes the number of elements )

$$density_{block} = \frac{\#\left(\left\{(x, y) \in block \mid mag(x, y) > threshold\right\}\right)}{\#\left(\left\{(x, y) \in block \mid mag(x, y) \neq 0\right\}\right)}$$
(3.8)



Fig. 3.11 Repetitive patterns

### 3.1.5 Contour Distance

In order to represent the biologic structural relations among AHOG features, we take the distance between AHOG and human centroid into consideration. Moreover, some human parts might not be symmetric because human could have strange poses, and thus, the symmetric weights of non-symmetric parts will be insignificant, namely, the non-symmetric parts will not be considered afterward. But in practice, non-symmetric parts may have some informative relations between them and human body. For the purposes of representing the biological structure relations among AHOG features and keeping the information of non-symmetric parts, we encode the contour distance into AHOG by defining the contour distances as weighted distances between a cell and the centroid of a feature block. Different from [24, 25], we describe the structural relations among AHOGs by adding contour distance into feature vector. On the other hand, our approach is an implicit way of part-based detection under *ISM* [19] framework if we use AHOGs to detect human body parts separately. Fig. 3.12 shows the contour distance of a block consists of four distances: cell  $c_i$ , i=1,...,4, and we calculate the cell distances *Dist<sub>i</sub>* by the following equations:

$$Edist(x, y) = \sqrt{(x - \bar{x})^{2} + (y - \bar{y})^{2}}$$

$$Dist_{i} = \frac{\sum_{\forall (x, y) \in c_{i}} mag(x, y) \times Edist(x, y)}{L}$$
(3.9)
(3.10)

note that Edist(x, y) means the Euclidean distance between (x, y) and centroid  $(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$ . Each distance is multiplied by the pixel gradient magnitude, mag(x, y), and is normalized to 0~1 by a constant *L*.



Fig. 3.12 Contour distance

### **3.1.6 Dominant Orientation Rotation**

Because the highly intra-class variations in the human category, such as swinging limbs at different positions that may confuse human detector (see Fig. 3.13). In order to provide invariance to some affection of the rotational and geometrical variations of a human body, we rotate blocks to its dominant orientation before constructing the orientation histograms. Moreover, the human detector with dominant orientation rotation can use less number of features to represent the same body part even at different positions.

The dominant orientation is determined by the orientation histograms of the feature block. The orientation histograms are constructed from accumulating the weighted gradients (as shown in Fig. 3.10) according to gradient orientation  $\theta$ . The illustration of constructing orientation histograms is given in Fig. 3.14. The dominant orientation is the angle of the maximum accumulated magnitude (red bar in the figure).



Fig. 3.13 Limb variation



Fig. 3.14 Orientation histograms

After acquiring the dominant orientation, we rotate the entire block to align the dominant angle with the horizontal, that is, 0°. The histograms of rotated block are shown in Fig. 3.15. From the illustration in Fig. 3.15, two non-rotated histograms of left and right legs are dissimilarity, but after rotating them to their dominant angles, two rotated histograms become more similar. Thus, applying dominant orientation rotation to each feature block not only guarantees invariance to different positions of the body parts but also use the same feature to represent the same body part.



Fig. 3.15 Flow of dominant orientation rotation

### 3.1.7 Orientation Histogram Construction

In this section, we describe the way of constructing the AHOG feature vector, which includes gradient density, contour distance, and orientation histograms. First, we calculate four orientation histograms for each cell in a feature block. For time saving, we quantize the orientation into 9 ranges, that is, 40° a range (see Fig. 3.16). Therefore, there are four 9 dimensional orientation histograms within a feature block. The visualization of the four 9-D histograms and entire detecting window with overlapped 9-D histograms are shown in Fig. 3.17. We concatenate the gradient density value, four contour distances, four 9-D orientation histograms to form the final AHOG feature vector for further training and classification.



Fig. 3.16 Quantized orientation histograms



**36-D** histograms

Overlapped 9-D histograms

Fig. 3.17 Visualization of orientation histograms

# 3.2 Training

Human candidate detection consists of a training process and a detecting process. Here, we discuss the human candidate training process based on the AHOG features. We construct a cascaded human classifier as mentioned in subsection 2.3.3 with some modifications. Each feature block is described by a 41-D feature vector, which is the input vector of SVM as mentioned in subsection 2.2 We use linear SVM provided by libSVM [31] to find the separating hyperplane of weak classifier used in cascaded AdaBoost algorithm. There are more than 14,000 possible AHOG blocks to be evaluated in each stage, but it is very time consuming. So we adopt a sampling approach as suggested by Scholkopf and Smola [32]. They showed that choosing from a small set (5%) of all estimations can obtain a feasible estimation which achieves 95% performance of the best solution. Thus, we select the best weak classifier from 5% of all kinds of weak classifiers, that is, about 700 weak classifiers trained by linear SVM in each iteration.

# **3.3** Detection

Human candidates are those detecting windows which pass all stages of the cascaded human classifier as described above. Before classifying a detecting window, we should generate detecting windows with reasonable sizes. The human candidates are determined for further validating step via the candidate verifier. In the following sections, are given the way of classifying the generated potential detecting windows.

### **3.3.1 Human Potential Location**

In this section, we describe how to generate detecting windows with different sizes varied with depths. We adopt the estimation proposed by Hoiem *et al.* [33] with some modification, which tolerate little errors due to non-flat plane or different human heights. The height of the detecting window  $h_d$  relative to depth is given by:

$$h_d = \frac{(p_d - p_{vanish}) \times \dot{h_{target}}}{\dot{h_{camera}}}$$
(3.11)

where  $p_d$  is the bottom position of the detecting window,  $p_{vanish}$  is the position of the

vanishing line,  $h_{target}$  and  $h_{camera}$  are the real heights of human and camera, respectively, On our case,  $h_{target}$  and  $h_{camera}$  are estimated to be 1.7 meters and 1.2 meters, respectively.

In order to tolerate the slightly changing size of the target, the accepted potential size of the detecting window can be slightly larger or smaller than the computed size. All potential detecting windows are shown in Fig. 3.18.



Fig. 3.18 Potential detecting windows

## 3.3.2 Classification

The classification step uses the cascaded human candidate classifier to classify all potential detecting windows. For detecting windows whose sizes are different from  $64 \times 128$ , we normalized them to  $64 \times 128$  to maintain the relative position between feature block and detecting window. The resulting human candidates with different depth may

correspond to the same target, and thus we merge the classified results by MeanShift algorithm. Therefore, the final fused results are the human candidates for further verification process.



# **Chapter 4 Human Candidate Verification**

Feature classification and verification are two of the most important modules of vision-based human detection system, because they are critical to the performance of the system.

In last chapter, we discuss the human detection processes by classifying the AHOG features which represent the targets. Although most of candidates are humans in practice, there are still some false detections that occur. Based on the analysis of the false detections, we find that there exist some parts which are similar to real human patterns, but not the entire region. Fig. 4.1 shows some falsely detected candidates. The reason is because we only use the local features (AHOGs) to represent the targets, but some non-humans patterns which contain sufficient discriminative features might be considered as humans. Hence, we impose a global feature – human shape approximation – for overcoming the shortcomings of using local features.

This chapter gives steps of verifying the remaining detecting windows - human candidates. In the beginning, the ellipse approximation of a detecting window is given and is then need to train the estimated elliptic parameters to obtain a human candidate verifier for further verification.



Fig. 4.1 False detected candidates

# 4.1 Ellipse Approximation

This section presents the way of fitting an ellipse to a region of interest – human candidate. Finding a fitting ellipse can be done by calculating the second moments of the entire region. Before computing the moment, we have to group pixels which belong to the same region. Thus, we adopt connected component procedure on detecting windows first for estimating a best-fit ellipse.

# 4.1.1 Connected Components

Before approximating the merged candidates by an ellipse, we find the connected

components in each detecting window of human candidates. In our case, we find the contour of the region provided by OpenCV [34] at first, and then take the interior regions as the connected components. To fill the holes in the connected components, we apply the morphological opening operation and remain components with enough sizes and reasonable positions (see Fig. 4.2).



### 4.1.2 Moments

This section describes the way of finding a best-fit ellipse estimation of the adequate component in each detecting window and its respective elliptic parameters. A best-fit ellipse can be found by calculating the second order moments of the connected component. A fitted ellipse is represented by a centroid  $(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$ , principal angle  $\phi$ , and length of semi-major and minor axes *a* and *b*, respectively. The moment of order p + q (where *p* and *q* are integers) of a region *G* is calculated by the following integral evaluation over the area of *G*:

$$m_{pq} = \iint_G f(x, y) x^p y^q dx dy$$
(4.1)

The central moments are used to compute the elliptic parameters. The following gives the equation of central moments:

$$u_{pg} = \iint_{G} (x - \bar{x})^{p} (y - \bar{y})^{q} f(x, y) dx dy$$
(4.2)

$$\bar{x} = \frac{m_{10}}{m_{00}}, \ \bar{y} = \frac{m_{01}}{m_{00}}$$
 (4.3)

where the centroid  $(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$  of ellipse is obtained after computing the zero-order and first-order spatial moments. The principal angle, which is an included angle between the major semi-axis and the horizontal axis, gives the orientation of the ellipse and it can be calculated with the central moments of the second order, namely,

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2u_{11}}{u_{20} - u_{02}} \right) \tag{4.4}$$

In order to evaluate the length of semi-major and minor axes of the ellipse, we compute the least and the greatest moments of inertia,  $I_{min}$  and  $I_{max}$ , respectively. They can be figured out by the following equations as shown in [35].

$$I_{min} = \frac{u_{20} + u_{02} - \sqrt{(u_{20} - u_{02})^2 + 4u_{11}^2}}{2}$$
(4.5)

$$I_{max} = \frac{u_{20} + u_{02} + \sqrt{(u_{20} - u_{02})^2 + 4u_{11}^2}}{2}$$
(4.6)

the length of semi-major axis *a* and semi-minor axis *b* of ellipse are evaluating by equations (4.7) and (4.8). A best-fit ellipse estimation of connected components is represented by an elliptic vector:  $\{\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \varphi, a, b\}$ , as shown in Fig. 4.3.

$$a = \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[\frac{(I_{max})^3}{I_{min}}\right]^{\frac{1}{8}}$$
(4.7)

$$b = \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[\frac{\left(I_{\min}\right)^{3}}{I_{\max}}\right]^{\frac{1}{8}}$$
(4.8)



1 43 V V V

# 4.2 Training & Verification

The human candidate verification involves training of the elliptic verifier and verifying of the approximated ellipses of candidates. We also use the linear SVM to learn an elliptic verifier based on the estimated elliptic vectors over the training data.

The elliptic verifier rules out many false candidates who might have apparent vertical gradient features or human-like patterns. Combining the local feature classification and global feature verification not only improves the reliability of the detected results but also reduces the high false positive rates due to dense grids of detecting widows. Besides, the best-fit ellipse estimation also provides appropriate sizes of the bounding boxes on the detected human. The detected results are the detecting windows which pass all stages of cascaded classifier and are validated by the final elliptic verifier.



# **Chapter 5** Experiment

# 5.1 Environment Description

Our proposed approach is developed and evaluated on a personal computer and the details of the equipments are listed in Table 5.1. The Intel Open Source Computer Vision Library (OpenCV) is used to assist in developing the system. The camera is mounted on a vehicle as the camera platform, referring to Fig. 5.1.



Fig. 5.1 Camera configuration

# 5.2 Database

We evaluate the performance of our approach based on two human databases, one provided by MIT [36] and one made by our laboratory

The poses of the people in MIT database are limited to frontal and rear views. Each image is scaled to the size  $64 \times 128$  and is aligned so that the person's body is at the

center of the image. Some examples are shown in Fig. 5.2(a).

We generate our human database from video sequences of traffic scenes taken at Taipei city and on NTU campus under a variety of weather conditions. In this dataset, people have various poses and views and their sizes are different with the distances, as shown in Fig. 5.2 (b).

We select 9 video sequences of different scenarios to be evaluated in this work. The half of the video sequences are used for learning a cascaded human candidate classifier and a candidate ellipse verifier, and the remaining video sequences are used for evaluating the performance of system.



(a) MIT database

(b) Our database

Fig. 5.2 Human Databases

# 5.3 Training

The total number of positive images and negative images used for training are 2,390 and 14,496, respectively. The positive samples include a variety of poses, clothes, and varied viewpoints. On the other hand the negative samples contain many non-human objects which have apparent edges or human-like patterns.

The resulting cascaded human candidate classifier has 24 stages and about 500 weak classifiers, and the training process takes a few days. The details of the cascaded classifier are shown in Fig. 5.3 and Fig. 5.4. As can be seen, there are about 90% detecting windows rejected by the first five stages, and after the 15<sup>th</sup> stage the rejection rate is close to 100%. With the increasing number of stages, more features are added to reduce the false positives rate to nearly zero, but it still maintains the high detection rate in the meantime.



Fig. 5.3 Number of weak classifier of each stage



Fig. 5.4 Rejection rate of each stage

### 5.3.1 Discussion of Training Process

In order to find the threshold used in computing block density, we analyze the intensity distribution of feature block of positive and negative images. In Fig. 5.5, it gives statistical results of three feature blocks corresponding to different human parts. In right figure, red/green line represents the positive/negative data. Thus, it can be seen that the number of negative gradients is greater than that of positive gradients if the magnitude is greater than the threshold (threshold = 50 in this case). On the contrary, if the magnitude is less than the threshold, the number of positive gradients is greater than that of negative gradients. In left-bottom of the figure, the computed block density values prove the difference between positive and negative images.

For comparing the performance with the other human detector, we also implement the work by Dalal [23] and that by Zhu [24]. Zhu's work is based on the framework of Dalal [23] with similar performance, but the former computation is much faster than Dalal's because of the cascaded structure of the classifier. Thus, we compare the differences between the training results with two feature types, HOG used by Zhu and AHOG used by us. We inspect our number of feature type with aspect ratio (2:1) selected by the cascaded AdaBoost algorithm is greater than Zhu's in the previously stages. We observe that the AHOG features with aspect ratio (2:1) well represent the lower parts of a human body, such as shanks, and Fig. 5.6 gives the visualization of the selected HOG/AHOG feature image at the stage 5, 6. In Zhu's work [24], he only selected 4 feature types, but our method utilize all feature types. Therefore, after we encode the symmetry weighted window into construction of AHOG features, the probability of selecting the features with aspect ratio (2:1) becomes higher, and in another word our approach brings each type of feature into full play better than [23-25], which only focuses on the center of block by multiplying Gaussian weighted window.



Fig. 5.5 Intensity histograms of feature block



Fig. 5.6 Visualizing the selected HOG and AHOG.

# 5.4 Experiment Results

We implement various experiments with our approach to evaluate the performance on different databases and in different environments. Besides that, we also compare the performance with the state-of-the-art human detector Dalal and Triggs [23] on MIT database and our human database. Two criteria are used to measure the performance of our approach. They are defined as:

Detection rate = 
$$\frac{\text{number of detected humans}}{\text{Total number of humans}}$$
 (4.9)

(FP) False Positive rate = 
$$\frac{\text{number of detected non-humans}}{\text{Total number of detected results}}$$
 (4.10)

### 5.4.1 Performance of MIT Database

Fig. 5.7 shows the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves of Dalal's and our approach on MIT database. During testing of all positive images on MIT database, it is limited since humans are always at the image center with frontal or rear views. However, the performances of two approaches are robust. From the ROC curve, we know that the detection rate of our method is about 98% with 1% false positive rate, but the detection rate of Dalal's is only near 90% with the same false positive rate. Therefore, our approach has better performance than Dalal's on MIT database.



Fig. 5.7 ROC curve

### 5.4.2 Performance of Our Database

We evaluate and compare the performance of Dalal's and our method on our human database. We choose 9 video sequences according to 3 criteria: number of humans, illumination, and human moving direction. We assume that the targets are in the range from 5 meters to 40 meters without any occlusion. Some detecting results are shown in Fig. 5.8.

Table 5.2 shows the performance of each video sequence and the criteria are denoted by following format: Illumination – # human – Moving direction – Environment condition. Two labels for each criterion, Illumination: High (H), Low (L); # human: Single (S), Multiple (M); Moving direction: Longitude (L), Cross (C). Environment condition denotes other surroundings that may affect the performance.

		Dalal [23]		Our	
Criteria	# humans	Detection	FP rate	Detection	FP rate
		rate (%)	(%)	rate (%)	(%)
H-S-L	909	94.28	1.95	99.66	1.41
H-S-C	204	80.39	13.66	93.62	8.6
L-S-C	118	88.98	12.50	99.15	0.8
L-S-C-brick ground	73	93.15	11.25	97.26	10.12
L-S-C-repetitive background	212	86.79	18.75	89.62	11.21
L-S-L-under trees	110	83.58	22.22	89.09	16.94
L-S-L-wet ground	244	81.96	8.55	88.93	5.65
L-M-C- repetitive background	83	97.59	3.57	98.79	1.2
L-M-L-under trees	229	92.57	11.02	90.82	3.7
Overall	2182	90.52	7.9	95.33	4.85
	1 938 1	- X - 1	1 48 18		

Table 5.2 Performance of each video.

In Table 5.2, our performance is better than Dalal's performance under the same experimental conditions. The overall detection rate is improving about 5% and also reducing the false positive rate about 3%, especially when humans are moving across. The average detection rate increases by about 6.378% with decreasing false positive rate 5.56% while the humans are at lateral view. In other words, the AHOG has better capability of representing than HOG, particularly in characterizing the humans at lateral view. Thus, these results substantiate that encoding the human shape properties into HOG features provides more reliable information of human detection. The average number of windows for densely scanning a 360×240 image is about 10,000 and another,

there are 10.77 blocks needed to be evaluated in each detecting window. The detecting time is about 0.42 seconds with densely scanning detecting windows, which is faster 16 times compared to the Dalal's method that has to evaluate 105 blocks for each detecting window. The following figures show some detection results of each video.



# High illumination – Single target – Cross moving Image: Constrained state of the st

# Low illumination – Single target – Cross moving



# Low illumination – Single target – Cross moving – Brick ground Image: Constrained state of the s

# Low illumination – Single target – Cross moving – Repetitive background



# Low illumination – Single target – Longitudinal moving – Under trees



# Low illumination – Single target – Longitudinal moving – Wet ground






## Chapter 6 Conclusion

In this thesis, we present a monocular vision-based multi-human detection approach on a moving camera platform. A discriminative feature, AHOG is proposed for human detection, which provides reliability in illumination and appearance variations, complex background, and different views of people. Since the symmetry of human shape is encoded in AHOG, the capability of representing a human has been improved a lot especially in a lateral view of human. Besides that, many false detections caused by clutter background are discarded by means of measuring of the gradient density. Based on the gradient density information, we also alleviate the affection of repetitive patterns while we are computing the symmetric weights. The contour distance is imposed to AHOG not only to represent the biological structure relations between AHOG and human body but also to keep the information of non-symmetric human parts. Integrating these human shape properties into AHOG makes it a more discriminative feature for human detection. In addition to local feature, we employ AHOG to characterize the human shape by a global feature, ellipse, as well. Estimating a best-fit ellipse of human shape reduces many false detections which may have some local features that are similar to humans. The experimental results show our proposed human detection approach has better performance than that of the state-of-the-art human detectors. These appealing results also confirm reliable accuracy and stable performance of our proposed approach even under the strict environment.

Our future work is to take the spatio-temporal knowledge and motion information of target into account. The spatio-temporal knowledge can be used to increase the stability of detection and the motion information can improve the shortcomings of appearance features.

## References

- [1] P. Viola, M. J. Jones, and D. Snow, "Detecting Pedestrians Using Patterns of Motion and Appearance," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 2005.
- [2] J. J. Little and J. E. Boyd, "Recognizing People by Their Gait: The Shape of Motion," *Journal of Computer Vision Research*, p. pp. 1–32, 1998.
- [3] B. Heisele and C. Woehler, "Motion-based recognition of pedestrians," in *International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, 1998, pp. 1325-1330 vol.2.
- [4] L. Wang, T. Tan, H. Ning, and W. Hu, "Silhouette analysis-based gait recognition for human identification," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 25, pp. 1505-1518, 2003.
- [5] D. Cunado, M. S. Nixon, and J. N. Carter, "Automatic Extraction and Description of Human Gait Models for Recognition Purposes," *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, vol. 90, p. 1–41, 2003.
- [6] C. Curio, J. Edelbrunner, T. Kalinke, C. Tzomakas, and W. von Seelen, "Walking pedestrian recognition," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 01, pp. 155-163, 2000.
- [7] S. A. Niyogi and E. H. Adelson, "Analyzing and recognizing walking figures in XYT," in *IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 1994, pp. 469-474.
- [8] D. M. Gavrila, "The Visual Analysis of Human Movement: A Survey," *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, vol. 73, pp. 82-89, 1999.
- [9] R. Yang, Z. Qinfen, I. Weiss, L. S. Davis, W. Abd-Almageed, and Z. Liang, "Pedestrian classification from moving platforms using cyclic motion pattern," in *International Conference on Image Processing*, 2005, pp. II-854-7.
- [10] Yang Ran, Isaac Weiss, Q. Zheng, and L. S. Davis, "Pedestrian Detection via Periodic Motion Analysis," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 2007.
- [11] A. Broggi, M. Bertozzi, A. Fascioli, and M. Sechi, "Shape-based pedestrian detection," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium*, 2000, pp. 215-220.
- [12] J. B. Hayfron, M. S. Nixon, and J. N. Carter, "Human Identification by Spatio-Temporal Symmetry," in *IEEE International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, 2002, pp. 11-15.
- [13] Ying Wu and T. Yu, "A field model for human detection and tracking," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 28, pp. 753-765, 2006.
- [14] D. M. Gavrila, "Pedestrian Detection from a Moving Vehicle," in *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2000.
- [15] D. M. Gavrila and S. Munder, "Multi-cue Pedestrian Detection and Tracking from a Moving Vehicle," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 2007.
- [16] C.-Y. Liu and L.-C. Fu, "Computer Vision Based Object Detection and Recognition for Vehicle Driving," in *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, 2001.
- [17] A. Mohan, C. Papageorgiou, and T. Poggio, "Example-based object detection in images by components," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 23, pp. 349-361, 2001.
- [18] D. Ramanan, D. A. Forsyth, and A. Zisserman, "Tracking People by Learning Their Appearance," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine*

Intelligence, vol. 29, pp. 65-81, 2007.

- [19] B. Leibe, E. Seemann, and B. Schiele, "Pedestrian detection in crowded scenes," in *IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2005, pp. 878-885 vol. 1.
- [20] M. Oren, C. Papageorgiou, P. Sinha, E. Osuna, and T. Poggio, "Pedestrian detection using wavelet templates," in *IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 1997, pp. 193-199.
- [21] B. Wu and R. Nevatia, "Simultaneous Object Detection and Segmentation by Boosting Local Shape Feature based Classifier," in *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2007, pp. 1-8.
- [22] P. Sabzmeydani and G. Mori, "Detecting Pedestrians by Learning Shapelet Features," in *IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2007.
- [23] N. Dalal and B. Triggs, "Histograms of oriented gradients for human detection," in *IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2005, pp. 886-893 vol. 1.
- [24] Qiang Zhu, Shai Avidan, Mei-Chen Yeh, and K.-T. Cheng, "Fast Human Detection Using a Cascade of Histograms of Oriented Gradients," in *IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2006.
- [25] C.-C. R. Wang and J.-J. J. Lien, "AdaBoost Learning for Human Detection Based on Histograms of Oriented Gradients," in *Proceedings of the Asian Conference on Computer Vision*, 2007.
- [26] "Mobileye: Improvement of Vehicle Safety with Vehicle Tracking & Car Tracking," <u>http://www.mobileye-vision.com/</u>, Retrived May 5, 2008.
- [27] P. Viola and M. Jones, "Rapid Object Detection using a Boosted Cascade of Simple Features," in *IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2001.
- [28] V. N. Vapnik, *The nature of statistical learning theory*: Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 1995.
- [29] Y. Freund and R. E. Schapire, "A Decision-Theoretic Generalization of On-Line Learning and an Application to Boosting," *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, vol. 55, pp. 119-139, 1997.
- [30] D. G. Lowe, "Distinctive Image Features from Scale-Invariant Keypoints " International Journal of Computer Vision, vol. 60, Number 2, pp. 91-110, 2004.
- [31] C.-C. Chang and C.-J. Lin, "LIBSVM : a library for support vector machines.," Software available at <u>http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm</u>, 2001.
- [32] B. Scholkopf and A. Smola, *Learning with Kernels Support Vector Machines, Regularization, Optimization and Beyond.* MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2002.
- [33] D. Hoiem, A. A. Efros, and M. Hebert, "Putting Objects in Perspective," in *IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2006, pp. 2137-2144.
- [34] OpenCV, "Intel Open Source Computer Vision Library," <u>http://www.intel.com/technology/computing/opencv/</u>, 2000 2006.
- [35] W. Pratt, *Digital Image Processing*, 3rd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2001.
- [36] "MIT: CBCL Pedestrian Database," http://cbcl.mit.edu/software-datasets/PedestrianData.html, Retrived June 17, 2008.